arXiv:1511.02179v7 [math.NT] 4 May 2016

Counting with the Ostrowski Numeration Systems

Avraham Bourla
Department of Mathematics
American University, Washington DC
bourla@american.edu

May 5, 2016

Abstract

We will provide algorithmic implementation with proofs of existence and uniqueness for the Absolute and Alternating Ostrowski Numeration Systems.

1 Introduction

We can view a positive integer written in our familiar base–10 numeration system as the dot product of a sequence of digits $\langle d_k \rangle_1^\ell \subset \{k\}_0^9$, where $\ell \in \mathbb{N} := \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and the infinite base–10 vector $\left\langle 10^k \right\rangle_0^\infty$ truncated to the $\ell - 1$ position. For instance when $\ell = 3$ and $\langle d_k = k \rangle_1^3$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} d_k 10^{k-1} = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle \cdot \left\langle 10^k \right\rangle_0^2 = 1 \cdot 10^0 + 2 \cdot 10^1 + 3 \cdot 10^2 = 321.$$

After taking zero as the vacuous expansion obtained when $\ell = 0$ and allowing the infinite base–10 vector to alternate in sign as $\left\langle (-10)^k \right\rangle_0^\infty$, we can expand unique representation to all integers. For instance, $-321 = \langle 9, 3, 7, 1 \rangle \cdot \left\langle (-10)^k \right\rangle_0^3$, whereas 321 is now given the new digit representation $\langle 1, 8, 4 \rangle$. While we can similarly obtain integer expansions for all fix radix base–n systems, sometimes the need arises to expand integers as a dot product using an irrational base.

After fixing the base $\alpha \in (0, 1) \backslash \mathbb{Q}$, we expand it as an infinite continued fraction

$$\alpha = \cfrac{1}{a_1 + \cfrac{1}{a_2 + \cfrac{1}{a_3 + \cfrac{1}{\ddots}}}},$$

obtaining the unique sequence of partial quotients $\langle a_k \rangle_1^\infty$ (see the excellent expository introduction of the theory of continued fractions [3] for details). Truncating the iteration after k steps yields the convergent

$$\frac{p_k}{q_k} := \cfrac{1}{a_1 + \cfrac{1}{a_2 + \cfrac{1}{\ddots + \cfrac{1}{a_k}}}}.$$

The Ostrowski Numeration Systems utilize the unique sequence of denominators $\langle q_k \rangle_0^\infty$ as the infinite base–α vector. The resulting expansions are used in a wide array of applications from Diophantine Approximation [1, 4] to symbolic dynamics and Sturmian Sequences and combinatorial word constructions [5, 6, 7], for a through survey refer to [2]. The goal of this work is to provide the rigorous proof of existence and uniqueness for these systems along with concrete algorithmic realization, an actual Mathematica$^{\mathrm{TM}}$ code implementation and some counting examples. We end this section by quoting the well known recursion equation

$$q_{-1} := 0, \quad q_0 := 1, \quad q_k = a_k q_{k-1} + q_{k-2} \quad k \geq 1. \tag{1}$$

2 The Absolute Ostrowski Expansion

2.1 Algorithm and proof

For every $\ell \geq 0$ we call the finite digit sequence $\langle c_k \rangle_1^\ell$ $\boldsymbol{\alpha-}$**admissible** when it satisfies the following Markov conditions:

- $c_1 \leq a_1 - 1$ and $c_k \leq a_k$ for all $k \geq 2$.
- $c_k = a_k$ implies $c_{k-1} = 0$.

Theorem 2.1. For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\ell \geq 0$ and a unique α–admissible sequence of digits $\langle c_k \rangle_1^\ell$ such that $N = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} c_k q_{k-1}$.

Proof. Apply the algorithm:

Algorithm 1: Natural Ostrowski Expansion

input : $\alpha \in (0,1)\backslash\mathbb{Q}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$
output: $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}, \langle c_k \rangle_1^{\ell}$ $\alpha-$admissible
1 set $N_0 := N, m = n_0 := 0$;
2 **while** $N_m \geq 1$ **do**
3 | let n_m be such that $q_{n_m-1} \leq N_m < q_{n_m}$;
4 | set $c_{n_m} := \lfloor N_m/q_{n_m-1} \rfloor$;
5 | set $N_{m+1} := N_m - c_{n_m} q_{n_m-1}$;
6 | set $m := m+1$;
7 **end**
8 set $M := m, \ell := n_0, c_k := 0$ for all $k \notin \{n_m\}_0^M$;

When $N = 0$, we have $l = n_0 = 0$ and the expansion is vacuous. Whenever $N_m \geq 1$, we see that since $q_0 = 1$ by definition (1), the assignment of step–3 and the step–4 guarantees that $n_m \geq 1$ and that

$$c_{n_m} \geq 1. \tag{2}$$

After we rewrite the assignment of line–4 as the inequality

$$c_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} \leq N_m < (c_{n_m}+1) q_{n_m-1}, \tag{3}$$

we observe that, in tandem with the assignment of line-5, we are applying the euclidean algorithm as the repeated integer division of N_m by q_{n_m-1} resulting in a quotient c_{n_m} and remainder N_{m+1}. Thus we must have $0 \leq N_{m+1} < N_m \leq N$, that is, this iteration scheme must eventually terminate with a finite positive value M, yielding the sequences

$$0 = N_M < N_{M-1} < ... < N_0 = N, \quad 0 \leq n_M < ... < n_1 < n_0 = l \quad \text{and} \quad \langle c_{n_m} \rangle_{m=0}^{M-1}.$$

For all $1 \leq k \leq l$ with $k \notin \{n_m\}_0^{M-1}$ we define $c_k := 0$ and then, using the assignment of step–6, we obtain the desired expansion

$$N = N_0 = c_{n_0} q_{n_0-1} + N_1 = c_{n_0} q_{n_0-1} + c_{n_1} q_{n_1-1} + N_2 = ... = \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} c_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{l} c_k q_{k-1}.$$

Furthermore, the uniqueness of the quotient and the remainder terms in the division algorithm guarantees the uniqueness of this expansion.

If M is such that $n_M \geq 2$ then $c_1 = 0$ and if $n_M = 1$, we use the fact that $q_0 = 1$ and the inequality (3) to verify that $c_1 = c_1 q_0 \leq N_1 < q_1 = a_1$. Conclude that $c_1 \leq a_1 - 1$ as desired. If for some m we have in step 2 that $c_{n_m} \geq a_{n_m} + 1$, then the recursion formula (1), the inequality (3) and the fact that the sequence $\langle q_k \rangle_0^{\infty}$ is strictly increasing will lead us to the contradiction

$$N_m < q_{n_m} = a_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} + q_{n_m-2} < (a_{n_m}+1) q_{n_m-1} \leq c_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} \leq N_m.$$

Therefore, for all k we must have $0 \leq c_k \leq a_k$. Next, suppose by contradiction that $c_k = a_k$ and $c_{k-1} \geq 1$. Since $c_k = a_k \geq 1$, we see from the inequality (2) that there is some m for which $n_m = k - 1$. The the recursion formula (1), the inequality (3) and the assignment of line–5 will now leads us to the contradiction

$$N_m < q_{n_m} = q_{k-1} < q_k = q_k - N_{m+1} + N_{m+1} \leq q_k - c_{n_m+1} q_{n_m} + N_{m+1} \leq q_k - c_k q_{k-1} + N_{m+1}$$

$$= q_k - a_k q_{k-1} + N_{m+1} = q_{k-2} + N_{m+1} \leq c_{k-1} q_{k-2} + N_{m+1} = c_{n_m} q_{n_m - 1} + N_{m+1} = N_m.$$

■

2.2 Implementation and examples

We use Mathematica$^{\text{TM}}$ to implement this algorithm with a base α whose first continued fraction partial quotients are $\langle a_k := k \rangle_1^9$. The vectors **c** and **q** start in positions 1 and 0 respectively so that we obtain the dot product representation

$$N = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{q} = \mathrm{Ost}(N) \cdot \mathbf{q}.$$

```
α = FromContinuedFraction[Prepend[Table[k, {k, 9}], 0]];
q = Denominator[Convergents[α, 10]];
Ost[N_] := Module[{n = N, c = Table[0, {i, 10}]},
  While[n > 0, j := First[Flatten[Position[q, First[Select[q, # > n &, 1]]]]] - 1;
c = ReplacePart[c, j → Quotient[n, q[[j]]]; n = Mod[n, q[[j]]]; c]
```

When

$$\alpha := .5(5^{.5} - 1) = \cfrac{1}{1 + \cfrac{1}{1 + \cfrac{1}{\ddots}}}$$

is the golden section, we have $\langle a_k \rangle_1^\infty = \{1\}$. We then use formula (1) to verify that the sequence $\langle q_k \rangle_0^\infty$ is in fact the Fibonacci Sequence $\langle F_k \rangle_0^\infty := \langle 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, ... \rangle$. The implication of the proposition to this case is the Zeckendorf Theorem, which states that every positive integer can be uniquely written as the sum of nonconsecutive terms in $\langle F_k \rangle_1^\infty$ (note that we must have $c_1 = 0$ and thus obtain the unique representation of unity by assigning $c_2 := 1$ and writing $1 = c_2 q_1$).

When

$$\alpha = \sqrt{2} - 1 = \cfrac{1}{2 + \cfrac{1}{2 + \cfrac{1}{\ddots}}}$$

is the sliver section, we have $\{a_k\}_1^\infty = \{2\}$. By formula (1), we verify that $\langle q_k \rangle_0^3 = \langle 1, 2, 5, 12 \rangle$. The following tables display how the digits behave when we count to twenty

four using this base:

N	$q_3 = 12$ c_4	$q_2 = 5$ c_3	$q_1 = 2$ c_2	$q_0 = 1$ c_1
1	0	0	0	1
2	0	0	1	0
3	0	0	1	1
4	0	0	2	0
5	0	1	0	0
6	0	1	0	1
7	0	1	1	0
8	0	1	1	1
9	0	1	2	0
10	0	2	0	0
11	0	2	0	1
12	1	0	0	0

N	$q_3 = 12$ c_4	$q_2 = 5$ c_3	$q_1 = 2$ c_2	$q_0 = 1$ c_1
13	1	0	0	1
14	1	0	1	0
15	1	0	1	1
16	1	0	2	0
17	1	1	0	0
18	1	1	0	1
19	1	1	1	0
20	1	1	1	1
21	1	1	2	0
22	1	2	0	0
23	1	2	0	1
24	2	0	0	0

3 The Alternating Ostrowski Expansion

3.1 Algorithm and proof

We define

$$q_k^* := (-1)^k q_k, \quad k \geq -1, \tag{4}$$

and use the relationship (1) to obtain the new recursion equation

$$q_{-1}^* := 0, \quad q_0 := 1, \quad q_k^* = q_{k-2}^* - a_k {q_{k-1}}^*, \quad k \geq 1. \tag{5}$$

For every $\ell \geq 0$ we call the digit sequence $\langle b_k \rangle_1^\ell$ $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$**+admissible** when it satisfies:

- $b_k \leq a_k$.
- $b_k = a_k$ implies $b_{k+1} = 0$.

Theorem 3.1. For every integer Z there is some $\ell \geq 0$ and a unique α+admissible sequence of digits $\langle b_k \rangle_1^\ell$ such that $Z = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} b_k q_{k-1}^*$.

Proof. We let I_R be the indicator function for the relationship R and apply the algorithm:

Algorithm 2: Integer Ostrowski Expansion

input : $Z \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha \in (0,1)\backslash\mathbb{Q}$
output: $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}, \langle b_k \rangle_1^\ell$ $\alpha+$ admissible

1 set $Z_0 := Z, m = b_1 = n_0 := 0$;
2 **while** $Z_m \neq 0$ **do**
3 let $n'_m \geq 0$ be such that $q_{n'_m-1} < |Z_m| + I_{<0}(Z_m) \leq q_{n'_m}$;
4 let $n_m \in \{n'_m, n'_m + 1\}$ be such that $I_{>0}\left((-1)^{n_m-1} Z_m\right) = 1$;
5 **if** $n_m = n'_m$ **then**
6 set $b'_{n_m} := \lfloor |Z_m|/q_{n_m-1} \rfloor$;
7 **if** $|Z_m - b'_{n_m} q^*_{n_m-1}| + I_{<0}(Z_m - b'_{n_m} q^*_{n_m-1}) > q_{n_m-2}$ **then**
8 set $b_{n_m} := b'_{n_m} + 1$;
9 **else**
10 set $b_{n_m} := b'_{n_m}$;
11 **end**
12 **else**
13 set $b_{n_m} := 1$;
14 **end**
15 set $Z_{m+1} := Z_m - b_{n_m} q^*_{n_m-1}$;
16 set $m := m + 1$;
17 **end**
18 set $M := m, l := n_0, b_1 := b_1 + Z_m, b_k := 0$ for all $k \notin \{n_m\}_0^M$;

The definition (4) of q_k^* and the assignment of line–4 provides us with the inequality

$$Z_m {q_{n_m-1}}^* = (-1)^{n_m-1} Z_m q_{n_m-1} \geq 0, \tag{6}$$

whereas the assignment of line–6 provides us with the inequality

$$b'_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} \leq |Z_m| < (b'_{n_m} + 1) q_{n_m-1}. \tag{7}$$

When $Z_0 = 0$, we have $l = 0$ and the expansion is vacuous. Assuming $Z_0 \neq 0$, we will first show that the sequence of indexes $\langle n_m \rangle_0^M$ is strictly decreasing. To do so, we will consider the two cases $n'_m \in \{n_m - 1, n_m\}$ separately:

• When $n'_m = n_m - 1$, the inequality of step 3 yields

$$q_{n_m-2} = q_{n'_m-1} < |Z_m| \leq |Z_m| + I_{<0}(Z_m) \leq q_{n'_m} = q_{n_m-1},$$

so when we define Z_{m+1} using $b_{n_m} = 1$ in step 15, we will have by the inequalities (6) and (7) that

$$|Z_m - b'_{n_m} {q_{n_m-1}}^*| = |Z_m| - b'_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} \tag{8}$$

and that $Z_m Z_{m+1} \leq 0$, hence

$$|Z_{m+1}| = q_{n_m-1} - |Z_m| \leq q_{n_m-1} - q_{n_m-2} - 1. \tag{9}$$

Since $n_m = n'_m + 1 \geq 1$, we have $q_{n_m-2} \geq 1$, so that $|Z_{m+1}| + 1 \leq q_{n_m-1}$ and

$$|Z_{m+1}| + I_{<0}(Z_{m+1}) \leq |Z_{m+1}| + 1 \leq q_{n_m-1}.$$

Then in step 3 of the next iteration, we will have $n'_{m+1} \leq n_m - 1$. If this inequality is strict then we have $n_{m+1} \leq n'_{m+1} + 1 < n_m$. If $n'_{m+1} = n_m + 1$, then in step 4 we use the fact that Z_m and Z_{m+1} are of opposite sign to obtain

$$I_{>0}\left((-1)^{n_m} Z_{m+1}\right) = I_{>0}\left((-1)^{n_m-1} Z_m\right) = 1 = I_{>0}\left((-1)^{n_{m+1}-1} Z_{m+1}\right),$$

that is,

$$n'_{m+1} - 1 \equiv n_m \equiv n_{m+1} - 1 \pmod 2.$$

Since $n_{m+1} \leq n'_{m+1} + 1 \leq n_m$, we conclude that for this case we have $n_{m+1} = n'_{m+1} < n_m$.

• When $n'_m = n_m$ and $Z_m > 0$, we have by the inequalities (6), (7), line–15 and the fact that $0 \leq b_{n_m} - b'_{n_m} \leq 1$ that

$$Z_{m+1} = Z_m - b_{n_m} q^*_{n_m-1} = |Z_m| - b_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} < (b'_{n_m} + 1) q_{n_m-1} - b'_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} = q_{n_m-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -q_{n_m-1} = b'_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} - (b'_{n_m} + 1) q_{n_m-1} &\leq b'_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} - b_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} \\ &\leq |Z_m| - b_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} = Z_m - b_{n_m} q^*_{n_m-1} = Z_{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, when $n'_m = n_m$ and $Z_m < 0$, we have by the inequalities (6), (7), line–15 and the fact that $0 \leq b_{n_m} - b'_{n_m} \leq 1$ that

$$Z_{m+1} = Z_m - b_{n_m} q^*_{n_m-1} = -|Z_m| + b_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} \leq -b'_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} + (b'_{n_m} + 1) q_{n_m-1} = q_{n_m-1}$$

and

$$-q_{n_m-1} = -(b'_{n_m} + 1) q_{n_m-1} + b'_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} < -|Z_m| + b'_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} \leq Z_m - b_{n_m} q^*_{n_m-1} = Z_{m+1}$$

In either case we have

$$|Z_{m+1}| \leq q_{n_m-1}. \tag{10}$$

If one of the last inequalities is an equality, then the iteration will terminate at the next step with $n_{m+1} = n_m$, $b_{n_{m+1}} = 1$ and $Z_{m+2} = 0$. Otherwise, we have $|Z_{m+1}| + I_{<0}(Z_{m+1}) \leq q_{n_m-1}$ so that by line–3 we will have $n'_{m+1} \leq n_m - 1$. When $n_{m+1} = n'_{m+1}$, we have $n_{m+1} < n_m$ and when $n_{m+1} - 1 = n'_{m+1}$ we use the previous paragraph to conclude that $n_{m+2} < n_{m+1}$. In either case we have $n_{m+2} \leq n_{m+1} \leq n_m$ and $n_{m+2} < n_m$.

We have just proved that the sequence $\langle n_m \rangle_0^M$ is non-constant and decreasing and thus conclude that this iteration process will eventually terminate with a finite value M, for which $n_M \geq 1$ and $Z_{M+1} = 0$. After we define $b_k := 0$ whenever $k \notin \{n_m\}_0^M$, we use the assignment of line–15 to obtain the desired expansion

$$Z_0 = b_{n_0} q^*_{n_0-1} + Z_1 = b_{n_0} q^*_{n_0-1} + b_{n_1} q^*_{n_1-1} + Z_2 = \ldots = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} b_k q^*_{k-1}.$$

To prove uniqueness, we split an expansion of Z_0 into its positive and negative parts and invoke the uniqueness of the Absolute Ostrowski Expansion. More precisely, if we have $Z_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} b_k q_{k-1}^*$, then we define

$$Z_0^+ := \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil \ell/2 \rceil} b_{2k+1} q_{2k}^* = \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil \ell/2 \rceil} b_{2k+1} q_{2k}, \quad Z_0^- := -\sum_{k=1}^{\lceil \ell/2 \rceil} b_{2k} q_{2k-1}^* = \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil \ell/2 \rceil} b_{2k} q_{2k-1},$$

so that $Z_0 = Z_0^+ - Z_0^-$. If we also have $Z_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{\widehat{\ell}} \widehat{b}_k q_{k-1}^*$ then, without changing the representation, we set $b_k = \widehat{b}_k := 0$ for all $\min\{\ell, \widehat{\ell}\} < k \leq \max\{\ell, \widehat{\ell}\}$ and write

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lceil \ell/2 \rceil} b_{2k} q_{2k-1} = Z_0^- = Z_0^+ - Z_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil \ell/2 \rceil} b_{2k+1} q_{2k} - \sum_{k=1}^{\widehat{\ell}} \widehat{b}_k q_{k-1}^*$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil \max\{\ell, \widehat{\ell}\}/2 \rceil} (b_{2k+1} - \widehat{b}_{2k+1}) q_{2k} + \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil \widehat{\ell}/2 \rceil} \widehat{b}_{2k} q_{2k-1}.$$

Then theorem 2.1 guarantees that $\ell = \widehat{\ell}$ and that $b_k = \widehat{b}_k$ for all $1 \leq k \leq \ell$.

To prove that for all $k \geq 1$ we have $b_k \leq a_k$, we will show that for all $0 \leq m \leq M$ we have $0 \leq b_{n_m} \leq a_{n_m}$. This is clear whenever $n_m = n'_m + 1$ for by the assignment of line–13, we have $b_{n_m} = 1$. When $n_m = n'_m$, we use the inequality of line–3 and the assignments of line–6, line–8 and line–10, we see that $b_{n_m} \geq b'_{n_m} \geq 1$. Furthermore, we cannot have $b'_{n_m} \geq a_{n_m} + 1$, for then we would use the recursion relationship (1) and the inequalities of line–3 and (7) to obtain the contradiction

$$|Z_m| \leq q_{n_m} - I_{<0}(Z_m) \leq q_{n_m} = a_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} + q_{n_m-2} \leq (b'_{n_m} - 1) q_{n_m-1} + q_{n_m-2}$$

$$= b'_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} - (q_{n_m-1} - q_{n_m-2}) < b'_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} \leq |Z_m|.$$

Finally, when $b'_{n_m} = a_{n_m}$, we will show that we must also have $b_{n_m} = a_{n_m}$. If $Z_m > 0$, then from line–4 and the definition (4) of q_k^* we have $(-1)^{n_m-1} = 1$ and $q_{n_m-1}^* = q_{n_m-1}$ so that by the inequality (7) we obtain

$$Z_m - b'_{n_m} q_{n_m-1}^* = |Z_m| - b'_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} \geq 0.$$

Then the the recursion relationship (1) and the inequality of line–3 will now yield the inequality

$$|Z_m - b'_{n_m} q_{n_m-1}^*| + I_{<0}(Z_m - b'_{n_m} q_{n_m-1}^*) = |Z_m - b'_{n_m} q_{n_m-1}^*|$$

$$= Z_m - b_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} = Z_m - a_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} \leq q_{n_m} - a_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} = q_{n_m-2}.$$

Similarly, if $b'_{n_m} = a_{n_m}$ and $Z_m < 0$, then from line–4 we have $(-1)^{n_m-1} < 0$, hence $q_{n_m-1}^* = -q_{n_m-1}$ so that, by the inequality (7), we have

$$Z_m - b'_{n_m} q_{n_m-1}^* = -|Z_m| + b'_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} \leq 0.$$

Then the recursion relationship (1) and the inequality of line–3 will yield the inequality

$$|Z_m - b'_{n_m} q^*_{n_m-1}| + I_{<0}(Z_m - b'_{n_m} q^*_{n_m-1}) \leq -(Z_m - b'_{n_m} q^*_{n_m-1}) + 1$$

$$= |Z_m| + b'_{n_m} q^*_{n_m-1} + 1 \leq q_{n_m} - I_{<0}(Z_m) + b'_{n_m} q^*_{n_m-1} + 1$$

$$= q_{n_m} - 1 - a_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} + 1 = q_{n_m} - a_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} = q_{n_m-2}.$$

In both cases, b'_{n_m} would not satisfy the condition in line–7, hence we would have $b_{n_m} = b'_{n_m} = a_{n_m}$. Since $b_k = 0$ whenever $k \notin \{n_m\}_0^M$, we conclude that for all k we have $0 \leq b_k \leq a_k$.

To prove that $b_k = a_k$ implies that $b_{k+1} = 0$, we let k and m are such that $n_m = k + 1$. If $n_{m+1} \leq k - 1$ then $k \notin \{n_m\}_0^{M+1}$, hence $b_k = 0 \leq a_k - 1$ so that we may assume that $n_{m+1} = n_m - 1 = k$. Again we will consider the two cases $n'_m \in \{n_m - 1, n_m\}$ separately:

• When $n'_m = n_m - 1$, we assume that $b_{k+1} \geq b'_{k+1} \geq 1$ and will prove that $b_k \leq a_k - 1$. We use the recursion formula (1), the fact that the sequence $\langle q_k \rangle$ is increasing and the inequality (9) to obtain

$$|Z_{m+1}| < q_{n_m-1} - q_{n_m-2} = q_k - q_{k-1} = (a_k - 1)q_{k-1} + q_{k-2} < a_k q_{k-1}.$$

so when we assign $b'_k = b'_{n_m-1} = b'_{n_{m+1}}$ using the inequality (7), we will have $b'_k \leq a_k - 1$. Furthermore, from formula (8), we obtain

$$|Z_{m+1} - b'_k {q_{k-1}}^*| + I_{<0}(Z_{m+1} - b'_k {q_{k-1}}^*) \leq |Z_{m+1}| - b'_k q_{k-1}$$

$$\leq |Z_{m+1}| - (a_k - 1)q_{k-1} + 1 < q_k - q_{k-1} - (a_k - 1)q_{k-1} + 1 = q_k - a_k q_{k-1} + 1 = q_{k-2} + 1$$

so that the condition of line–7 is not satisfied and $b_k := b'_k \leq a_k - 1$ as desired.

• When $n'_m = n_m$, we have

$$n_{m+2} \leq n_m - 2 = k - 1 < n_{m+1} = n_m - 1 = n'_m - 1 = k < k + 1 = n_m.$$

Suppose by contradiction that $b_k = a_k$ and $b_{k+1} \geq b'_{k+1} \geq 1$. Then by the recursion relationship (1), the inequalities (7), (10) and the assignment of line–15, we obtain the contradiction

$$q_k \leq b'_{k+1} q_k = b'_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} \leq |Z_m| = |b_{n_m} q^*_{n_m-1} + Z_{m+1}| = |b_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} - Z_{m+1}|$$

$$= |b_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} - b_{n_m-1} q_{n_m-2} + Z_{m+2}| \leq b_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} - b_{n_m-1} q_{n_m-2} + |Z_{m+2}|$$

$$< b_{n_m} q_{n_m-1} - b_{n_m-1} q_{n_m-2} + (b_{n_{m+2}-1} + 1) q_{n_{m+2}-2} = b_k q_{k-1} - b_{k-1} q_{k-2} + (b_{k-1} + 1) q_{k-2}$$

$$= a_k q_{k-1} - b_{k-1} q_{k-2} + (b_{k-1} + 1) q_{k-2} = q_k - (b_{k-1} + 1) q_{k-2} + (b_{k-1} + 1) q_{k-2} = q_k.$$

■

3.2 Implementation and examples

As before, we use Mathematica$^{\mathrm{TM}}$ to implement this algorithm with an initial seed α whose first continued fraction partial quotients are $\langle a_k := k \rangle_1^9$. The vectors $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{q}^*$ start in positions 0 and -1 respectively, where $b_0 := 0$, so that we obtain the dot product representation

$$Z = \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{q}^* = \mathrm{AltOst}(Z) \cdot \mathbf{q}^*.$$

```
α = FromContinuedFraction[Prepend[Table[k, {k, 10}], 0]];
q = Prepend[Denominator[Convergents[α, 10]], 0]; q* = q * Table[(-1)^n, {n, 11}];
AltOst[Z_] := Module[{b = Table[0, {i, 11}], nm, z = Z}, While[ z ≠ 0,
   nm = First[Flatten[Position[q, First[Select[q, # ≥ (Abs[z] + Boole[z < 0]) &, 1]]]]];
   If[ (-1)^nm * z > 0, b[[nm]] = 1, nm -= 1; b[[nm]] = Floor[Abs[z] / q[[nm]] ] ;
    If[Abs[z - b[[nm]] q*[[nm]]] + Boole[z - b[[nm]] q*[[nm]] < 0] > q[[nm - 1]], b[[nm]] += 1]];
   z -= b[[nm]] q*[[nm]]]; b]
```

When α is the golden section, we have $\langle q_k^* \rangle_0^\infty := \langle 1, -1, 2, -3, 5, ... \rangle$ and are able to extend Zeckendorf's Theorem to the integers. When α is the silver section, we have $\langle q_k^* \rangle_0^\infty = \langle 1, -2, 5, -12, 29, ... \rangle$. The following tables displays how the digits behave when counting from -24 to 24 using this base:

Z	q_2^*=5 b_3	q_1^*=−2 b_2	q_0^*=1 b_1
1	0	0	1
2	0	0	2
3	1	1	0
4	1	1	1
5	1	0	0
6	1	0	1
7	1	0	2
8	2	1	0
9	2	1	1
10	2	0	0
11	2	0	1
12	2	0	2

Z	q_4^*=29 b_5	q_3^*=−12 b_4	q_2^*=5 b_3	q_1^*=−2 b_2	q_0^*=1 b_1
13	1	1	0	2	0
14	1	1	0	2	1
15	1	1	0	1	0
16	1	1	0	1	1
17	1	1	0	0	0
18	1	1	0	0	1
19	1	1	0	0	2
20	1	1	1	1	0
21	1	1	1	1	1
22	1	1	1	0	0
23	1	1	1	0	1
24	1	1	1	0	2

Z	q_3^*=−12 b_4	q_2^*=5 b_3	q_1^*=−2 b_2	q_0^*=1 b_1
-1	0	0	1	1
-2	0	0	1	0
-3	0	0	2	1
-4	0	0	2	0
-5	1	1	0	2
-6	1	1	0	1
-7	1	1	0	0
-8	1	1	1	1
-9	1	1	1	0
-10	1	0	0	2
-11	1	0	0	1
-12	1	0	0	0

Z	q_3^*=−12 b_4	q_2^*=5 b_3	q_1^*=−2 b_2	q_0^*=1 b_1
-13	1	0	1	1
-14	1	0	1	0
-15	1	0	2	1
-16	1	0	2	0
-17	2	1	0	2
-18	2	1	0	1
-19	2	1	0	0
-20	2	1	1	1
-21	2	1	0	2
-22	2	0	0	2
-23	2	0	0	1
-24	2	0	0	0

4 Acknowledgments

This work could have not been completed without the ongoing guidance, encouragement and good company of Robbie Robinson from George Washington University.

References

[1] V. Berth , *Diophantine approximation, Ostrowski numeration and the double-base number system*, Discrete Math Theory & Theoretical Computer Science **11:1** (2009) 153–172.

[2] –, *Autour du systme de numration d'Ostrowski*, Journes Montoises d'Informatique Thorique, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **8:2** (2001) 209–239.

[3] A. Ya. Khintchine, *Continued Fractions*, P. Noordhoff Ltd. Groningen, 1963.

[4] S. Ito, H. Nakada *Approximations of real numbers by the sequence* $\{na\}$ *and their metrical theory*, Acta. Math. Hung. **52:1–2** (1988) 91–100.

[5] A. Robinson, *Sturmian Expansions and Entropy*, Integers (electronic journal) **11B** (2011).

[6] L. Schaeffer, Ostrowski numeration and the local period of Sturmian words (English Summery), *Language and Automata Theory and Applications*, Springer, Heidelberg (2013) 493–503

[7] D. Xavier, J. Jacques, P. Giuseppe *Episturmian words and some constructions of de Luca and Rauzy. (English summary)*, Theoretical Computer Science **255:1–2** (2001), 539553.